

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/817,437		03/26/2001	Stephen J. Todd	JW-EMC-007	9135
24227	7590	03/29/2005		EXAMINER	
EMC COI			RAMPURIA, SATISH		
176 SOUT		NERAL COUNSEL Γ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOPKINT	ÓN, MA	01748	2191		

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/817,437	TODD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Satish S. Rampuria	2191	

	Satish S. Rampuria	2191	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aban ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applic which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in est for Continued
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		21110111212111101	ILLD WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appetitions was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 44 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)). 	1.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ns of the date of filing	the Notice of
AMENDMENTS	h		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-89</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> /it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	1	NO(S)	
	AMIGG	RY EXAMINER	
	PHIMA		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)



Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claims required further search and consideration due to the limitation "software" added. Now, claims are specific to the software architecture where as compare to architecture only as filed before. Therefore, the amended claims changes the scope of the claims.